#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
	To:		~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PCT	
		see torm	PCT//SA/220		TEN OPINION OF THE	
		500 101111	. 6161	INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
				Date of mailing		
				(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	Applicant	's or agent's file	reference	FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	
	see form	n PCT/ISA2	20	See paragraph 2 below		
		nal application l		day monthiyear)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
	PCLJP	2004/003808	19 03 2004		20.03.2003	
)			sification (IPC) or both national classification 9.423, H01L29.786, H01L21.84, H01			
		7000.710122	3,723,713,7223,733,713,722,737,713,			
	Applicant MATSU	SHITA ELEC	CTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO LTD		•	
	1 Th	is opinion co	ontains indications relating to the folk	owing items:		
	$\Box$	Box No 1	Basis of the opinion			
	_	Box No II	Priority			
		Box No III	Non-establishment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
		Box No IV	Lack of unity of invention	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Bule 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indual applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement						
		Box No VI	Certain documents cried			
		Box No VII	Certain defects in the international app	ication		
		Box No VIII	Certain observations on the internation	al application		
)	2 <b>FU</b>	RTHER ACTI	on .			
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66 1 bisib that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of timonths from the date of mailing of Form PCT ISA 220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority whichever expires later.						
	For	further option	ns, see Form PCT/ISA220			
	3 For	further detail	s, see notes to Form PCT1SA220			
	Nama and	d	1C A .			
		d mailing addres -	55 OF ITE 13A.	Authorized Officer	delicate a Valance of	
	<i>බ</i> )		Patent Office - Gitschiner Str 103	Hoffmann, N	: <b>W</b>	
			0 25901 - 0			
		Fax: +49 3	0 25901 - 840	Telephone No. +49 30	25901-756	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/003808

	Box	No	. I Basis of the opinion	
1.		pard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.			pard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. ty	pe d	of material:	
		; ر	a sequence listing	
)		] 1	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. fo	rma	at of material:	
		] i	in written format	
		) i	in computer readable form	
	c. tin	ne c	of filing/furnishing:	
		٠ د	contained in the international application as filed.	
		] 1	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		] 1	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		has cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional lies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:				

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/003808

_	Box	k No. II	Priority		
1.	Ø	☐ The following document has not been furnished:			
		⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has heless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim een found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.		
3	Δdc	litional e	pheen/ations if necessary:		

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/003808

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
		The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
☑ claims Nos. 5							
because:  the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subjectives not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
ì	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 5 are s unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
		see separate sheet					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful op could be formed.					
		no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard prov C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
		the written form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions					
		See separate sheet for further of	detai	is			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6 - 8

No:

Claims

1 - 4, 9 - 11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1 - 4, 6 - 11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1 - 4, 6 - 11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

It is not clear from **claim 5** or from the relevant passages of the description, which surface of the FIN is formed to have a convex shape and how this convex form is to be achieved. Therefore no comparison with the prior art is possible.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US-A-4 996 574 (SHIRASAKI MASAHIRO) 26 February 1991 (1991-02-26)
- D2: EP-A-0 623 963 (SIEMENS AG) 9 November 1994 (1994-11-09)
- D3: US 2002/003256 A1 (MAEGAWA SHIGETO) 10 January 2002 (2002-01-10)
- D4: US-B1-6 288 431 (IWASA SHOICHI ET AL) 11 September 2001 (2001-09-11)
- D5: US-B1-6 413 802 (SUBRAMANIAN VIVEK ET AL) 2 July 2002 (2002-07-02)
- 1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.1 The document D1 (column 7, line 34 column 8, line 15; claims 11-13; figures 10,
- 11) discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- a semiconductor device comprising:
- a semiconductor substrate (32) in which a trench (30) is formed;
- a source region (31a) and a drain region (31b), each of which is buried in the trench and containing an impurity of the same conductive type;
- a semiconductor FIN (31c) buried in the trench and provided between the source region and the drain region;
- a gate insulating film (34) provided on a side surface of the semiconductor FIN as well as an upper surface of the semiconductor FIN; and
- a gate electrode (35) provided on the gate insulation film, which is relevant to claim 1.
- **1.2** The document **D1** (column 7, line 34 column 8, line 15; claims 11-13; figures 10, 11) discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- a method for fabricating a semiconductor device, the device including a semiconductor substrate (32) in which a trench (30) is formed, a source region (31a) and a drain region (31b), each of which is buried in the trench and containing an impurity of the same conductive type, a semiconductor FIN (31c) buried in the trench and provided between

the source region and the drain region, a gate insulating film (34) provided on a side surface of the semiconductor FIN as well as an upper surface of the semiconductor FIN; and a gate electrode (35) provided on the gate insulation film, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) forming a semiconductor layer in the trench formed in the semiconductor substrate;
- (b) forming a gate insulating film on an upper surface part of the semiconductor layer which is to be a semiconductor FIN as well as a side surface of the part of the semiconductor layer;
- (c) forming a gate electrode on the gate insulating film; and
- (d) introducing an impurity into the semiconductor layer, using the gate electrode as a mask, to form a source region and a drain region in regions of the semiconductor layer located on sides of and under the gate electrode, respectively, and then forming a semiconductor FIN in a region of the semiconductor layer interposed between the source region and the drain region and located directly under the gate electrode, which is relevant to claim 9.
- **1.3** Moreover, it should also be pointed out that **claims 1 and 9** are **not new** over the disclosure of document **D2** (the whole document), **D3** (page 6, paragraph 89 94; page 7, paragraph 100 page 9, paragraph 123; claims; figures 1-23), **D4** (column 1, line 66 column 2, line 34; column 3, line 35 column 4, line 26; column 11, line 40 column 13, line 58; column 18, line 42 column 20, line 46; claims; figures 1-6) and **D5** (the whole document).
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 6 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- **2.1** The document **D2** (the whole document) is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of **claim 6**, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a semiconductor device comprising:

a first field-effect transistor including a semiconductor substrate (1,3) in which a trench is formed; a first source region (7) and a first drain region (7), each of which is buried in the trench and containing an impurity of the same conductive type, a semiconductor FIN (4) buried in the trench and provided between the first source region and the first drain region, a first gate insulating film (6) provided on a side surface of the semiconductor FIN as well as an upper surface of the semiconductor FIN; and a first gate electrode (5) provided on the gate insulation film.

The subject-matter of **claim 6** therefore differs from this known semiconductor device in that the devices further includes a standard MOSFET.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as how to integrate a FINFET and a MOSFET on a common substrate.

The document **D2** (column 4, line 28 - 35, claim 7) further discloses the integration of the semiconductor device together with standard semiconductor devices like CMOScircuits.

It is therefore obvious to the person skilled in the art to include both devices on a common substrate, thereby arriving at the device disclosed in **claim 6**.

- 2.2 Moreover the integration of process compatible devices of different type on a common substrate is well known in the art and cannot be considered an inventive step, especially if both devices are already known an no novel or surprising technical effect is achieved.
- 3. Dependent claims 2 4, 7 8 and 10 11 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and or inventive step for the following reasons:

The use of Si as the FIN-material is disclosed in document **D1**. Furthermore the use of SiGe or SiGeC is well known in the art, which is relevant to **claim 2**.

The features of claims 3, 4, 7 and 8 are disclosed in document D1.

The steps of claim 10 are disclosed in document D3 (page 8, paragraph 116; fig 18).

The steps of claim 11 are disclosed in document D1 (column 7, line 53 - 63; fig 10).